709 Cedar Grove Rd., Broomall, PA 19008

617-935-9712 (cell)

April 12, 2021

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

I am writing this letter to support the Marple Townships zoning board's decision to deny the special exception in regards to the location of the proposed PECO Gas Expansion Plant/Reliability Station at the corner of Sproul and Cedar Grove Roads. I have to admit the skeptical liberal in me feels the voices of the people involved will be overlooked in favor of a large energy company, but humor me by reviewing my reasons below.

Some of my reasons are as follows:

- 1. This lot is in close proximity to numerous residential homes, **Russell Elementary School**, a busy fast-food restaurant (Freddy's), and a strip of small local business including a Wawa. It also sits at a busy intersection which is prone to vehicular accidents. The speed limit is 40 mph, but is often exceeded.
- 2. My community and I were not properly notified of the plan to build this expansion plant. My family and I purchased our house here in Broomall in November. We had no idea what was planned for a few blocks away. Had we known, we probably would have purchased elsewhere. We love this area so far but having such a large energy facility so close is concerning.
- 3. I have since learned that PECO admitted that this is the "first of its kind" facility in the PECO network and they have no experience constructing or operating such a facility. I am very concerned that something that may not have been properly tested in such a location could be catastrophic in terms of lives lost and property damaged.
- 4. PECOs argument that a collision of a truck with the natural gas expansion plant cannot result in an explosion and/or fire is misleading. The Operations spokesman for PECO said (in a public forum via Zoom) that if a truck collided with the facility, it would only result in a gas leak, not an explosion, because the natural gas is conveyed in an oxygen deficient engineered environment and therefore cannot explode. That's true if and only if the gas remains in the controlled conditions of the engineered facility. Once the system was breached by a collision, operating accident or other event, the natural gas would mix with the oxygen in the atmosphere and potentially then be explosive. PECO cannot legitimately argue that explosion or fire at such a facility is not possible.
- 5. Another PECO spokesman (their attorney I believe) conflated the meaning of the word "gas" when he commented that this location was once a "gas station" and will now just be a "gas reliability station" as if gasoline and natural gas were the same thing. I think you would agree this is a laughable comparison. It would be the same as a poultry processing plant saying that because an area once a family farm with chickens it's no difference to have a mega poultry processing plant on site.
- 6. PECO argues that they must locate this facility in close proximity to the existing gas main running along Sproul Road. They claim it must be within a ½ mile radius of Lawrence and Sproul Rds. But this was before they even began replacing pipe all along Sproul Rd for miles many months ago. This appears to be purely a financial consideration lacking any safety consideration. I see on the docket they claim to have looked at ten other locations, however on the recorded video they claim to have only looked at a few which were all too far away.
- 7. Alternatively, it would be more advantageous from a public safety perspective to locate this proposed natural gas facility in the Lawrence Park Industrial Center rather than the currently proposed location. At least the Industrial Park is already "industrial".

- 8. PECO argues this is part of a ten-year plan for future gas needs. Why should future potential residents and businesses be more important than currently existing residents and businesses? How do we know that gas usage will actually go up when Pennsylvania's goal is to develop more clean energy and move away from fossil fuels?
- 9. It is apparent to me that the proposed PECO Natural Gas Expansion Plant location at Sproul and Cedar Grove Roads was made with disproportionate weight given to PECO's convenience and project costs considerations, not public safety. The site selection process should have first defined areas that meet defined and accepted public safety criteria and then within that geographic "safe" envelope, project cost, schedule and PECO convenience factors could optimize the final location. PECO seems to have overlooked, or at least undervalued, public safety considerations in selecting the proposed site. This facility should not be constructed where currently proposed.

Thank you,

/s/

Amanda Atkinson 709 Cedar Grove Rd Broomall, PA 19008 amanda.atkinson@gmail.com

VERIFICATION

I swear that the facts I am presenting in this Protest are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that the statements I am making in this Protest are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. § Section 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).

> Respectfully Submitted, /s/

Date: <u>April 12, 2021</u> Print Name: Amanda Atkinson

Address: 709 Cedar Grove Rd, Broomall, PA 19008

Email: Amanda.atkinson@gmail.com

Phone: <u>617-935-9712</u>

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Petition of PECO Energy Company for a Finding

Of Necessity Pursuant to 53 P.S §10619 that

the Situation of Two Buildings Associated with a Gas Reliability Station in Marple Township,

Delaware County Is Reasonably Necessary for

the Convenience and Welfare of the Public

Docket No. P-2021-3024328

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Protest upon the parties listed below, in accordance with the requirements of 52 PA Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant) in the manner listed below upon the parties listed below:

Emily I. DeVoe	JACK R GARFINKLE ESQUIRE
Administrative Law Judge	PECO ENERGY COMPANY
Public Utility Commission	2301 MARKET STREET
400 North Street	PO BOX 8699
Keystone Bldg.	PHILADELPHIA PA 19101-8699
Harrisburg, PA 17120	215.841.6863
	jack.garfinkle@exeloncorp
	Accepts eService
CHRISTOPHER A LEWIS ESQUIRE	KAITLYN T SEARLS ESQUIRE
FRANK L TAMULONIS ESQUIRE	J. ADAM MATLAWSKI ESQUIRE
STEPHEN C ZUMBRUN ESQUIRE	MCNICHOL, BYRBE & MATLAWSKI,
BLANK ROME LLP	P.C.
ONE LOGAN SQUARE	1223 N PROVIDENCE ROAD
130 NORTH 18TH STREET	MEDIA PA 19063
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103	ksearls@mbmlawoffice.com
215-569-5793	amatlawski@mbmlawoffice.com
lewis@blankrome.com	Accepts eService
ftamulonis@blankrome.com	
szumbrun@blankrome.com	
Accepts eService	

Respectfully Submitted, /s/

Print Name: Amanda Atkinson Date: __April 12, 2021

Address: 709 Cedar Grove Rd, Broomall, PA 19008

Email: Amanda.atkinson@gmail.com

Phone: 617-935-9712